arXiv:math/0508009v1 [math.DG] 30 Jul 2005

Compactness theorems for gradient Ricci solitons

Xi Zhang[1]

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University,
Hangzhou 310027, Zhejiang, P. R. China
E-mail: xizhang@zju.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the compactness theorem for gradient Ricci solitons. Let (M_α, g_α) be a sequence of compact gradient Ricci solitons of dimension $n \geq 4$, whose curvatures have uniformly bounded $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$ norms, whose Ricci curvatures are uniformly bounded from below with uniformly lower bounded volume and with uniformly upper bounded diameter, then there must exists a subsequence (M_α, g_α) converging to a compact orbifold (M_∞, g_∞) with finitly many isolated singularities, where g_∞ is a gradient Ricci soliton metric in an orbifold sense.

MSC: 58G30, 53C20
Keywords and phrases: Ricci flow, Ricci soliton, orbifold
Subj.Class: differential geometry.

1 Introduction

The concept of convergence of Riemannian manifolds was introduced by M.Gromov ([Gr]). As is now well-known, the Cheeger-Gromov convergence theorem ([Ch], [Gr], [GW], [Ka], [Pe]) implies that the space $\mu(\Lambda, \nu, D)$ of compact Riemannian n-manifolds of sectional curvature $|K| \leq \Lambda$, volume $\geq \nu > 0$ and diameter $\leq D$, is precompact in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ topology. There has been increasing interest lately in compactness theorems of Riemannian manifolds under various geometric assumptions ([An1], [An2], [Ga], [Na], [Ti1], [Ti2]). For instance, in [An1] and [Na], the authors show that if $\{(M_\alpha, g_\alpha)\}$ is a sequence of Einstein manifolds of dimension n satisfying: $diam(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \leq C$; $\int_{M_\alpha} \|Rm(g_\alpha)\|_{g_\alpha}^{\frac{n}{2}} dV_{g_\alpha} \leq C$; and $Vol(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{C}$, where C is a uniform constant, then there is a subsequence of $\{(M_\alpha, g_\alpha)\}$ converges to an Einstein orbifold with finitely many isolated singular points. Also see [Ti1] and [Ti2] for the case of Kähler-Einstein manifolds.

---

[1] The author was supported by NSF in China, No.10201028

The Ricci flow equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}g_{ij} = -2R_{ij} \tag{1.1}$$

has been introduced by R.Hamilton in his seminal paper [Ha1]. Natural questions that arises in studying the Ricci flow equation, are under which conditions a solution will exist for all times, if there exists a limit of the solutions when we approach infinity and how we can describe the metrics obtained in the limit. In the case of dimension three with positive Ricci curvature and dimension four with positive curvature operator we know (due to R.Hamilton [Ha1], [Ha2]) that the solutions of the Riccci flow , in both cases exist for all times, converging to Einstein metrics. In general, we can not expect to get an Einstein metric in the limit, but we can expect to get solitons in the limit. In brief, a soliton is just a solution to the Ricci flow (1.1) which moves by diffeomorphisms and also shrinks or expands by a factor at the same time. Such a solution is called a homothetic shrinking or expanding Ricci soliton. The equation for a homothetic Ricci soliton is

$$2\lambda g_{ij} - 2R_{ij} = g_{ik}\nabla_j v^k + g_{jk}\nabla_i v^k, \tag{1.2}$$

where λ is the homothetic constant, v is the vector field induced by the 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms. For $\lambda > 0$ the soliton is shrinking, for $\lambda < 0$ it is expanding, and the case $\lambda = 0$ is a steady Ricci soliton. If the vector field v is the gradient of a function u we say that the soliton is a gradient Ricci soliton, thus

$$\lambda g_{ij} - R_{ij} = \nabla_i\nabla_j u, \tag{1.3}$$

is the gradient Ricci soliton equation. Einstein metrics can be considered as Ricci soliton when the vector field v is zero.

In this paper, we want to consider the compactness result for the Ricci soliton. When the underly manifolds are closed (compact, without boundary), one can easily check that the steady and expanding Ricci solitons are in factly Einstein metrics. So, we mainly consider the shrinking case. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let (M_α, g_α) be a sequence of shrinking gradient Ricci solitons of dimension $n \geq 4$, i.e, satisfy the following equation*

$$g_\alpha - Ric(g_\alpha) = \nabla du_\alpha, \tag{1.4}$$

such that

(1), $Ric(g_\alpha) \geq -C_1 g_\alpha$;

(2), $diam(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \leq C_2$;

(3), $Vol(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \geq C_3$;

(4), $\int_{M_\alpha} |Rm|^{\frac{n}{2}}\, dV_{g_\alpha} \leq C_4$;

for some uniform positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4. Then there is a subsequence (M_α, g_α) converging to (M_∞, g_∞) in Cheeger-Gromov's sense, where M_∞ is an orbifold with finitely many isolated singularities and g_∞ is a Ricci soliton in an orbifod sense.

Further, if n is odd, there are no singular points and (M_∞, g_∞) *is a smooth gradient Ricci soliton which diffeomorphic to* M_α*, for* α *sufficiently large. In this case,* (M_α, g_α) *(sub)converges smoothly to* (M_∞, g_∞)*.*

Remark 1.2: *An n-dimensional orbifold* M_∞ *is a topological space satisfying: each point x in* M_∞ *admits an open neighborhood* U_x *homeomorphic to* B^n/Γ_x*, where* B^n *is the unit disc in* R^n *and* $\Gamma_x \subset O(n)$ *is a finite group and those* U_x *are patched together by smooth transition functions. Any point x with* Γ_x *trivial is called a regular point of* M_∞*. In particular,* M_∞ *is a manifold near such a regular point. Denote by* $Reg(M_\infty)$ *the set of all regular points. All other points are singular points of* M_∞ *i.e.* $Sing(M_\infty) = M_\infty \setminus Reg(M_\infty)$*. We will confine ourselves to the special case that* $Sing(M_\infty)$ *consists of isolated points. A Ricci soliton* g_∞ *in an orbifold sense is just the one on* $Reg(M_\infty)$ *such that for each* $x \in Sing(M_\infty)$ *if* $\pi_x : B^n \to U_x$ *is the local uniformization, then there is a diffeomorphism* φ *of* B^n *such that* $\varphi^*\pi_x^* g_\infty$ *can be extended smoothly to a gradient Ricci soliton* C^∞*-metric on* B^n*.*

In theorem 1.1, we say that (M_α, g_α) *converge to an orbifold* (M_∞, g_∞) *in Cheeger-Gromove's sense, if for any compact subset* $K \subset M_\infty \setminus Sing(M_\infty)$ *there are compact sets* $K_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ *and diffeomorphisms* $\phi_\alpha : K \to K_\alpha$ *so that* $\phi_\alpha^* g_\alpha$ *converge to* g_∞ *in* C^∞ *topology.*

Recall the formula of Avez ([Be]) expressing the Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ of a compact 4-manifold in terms of a curvature integral

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_M |Rm|^2 - 4|Ric|^2 + R^2, \tag{1.5}$$

where R is the scalar curvature. Clearly, a bound on $\int_M |Ric|^2$ and the second Betti number $b_2(M)$ implies a bound on $\int_M |Rm|^2$. The Bishop comparison theorem implies there is a upper bound of volume by the lower bound of Ricci curvature and the upper bound of diameter. On the other hand, in section two, we will prove that when g is a gradient Ricci soliton, the lower bound of Ricci curvature, the upper bound of diameter, and the lower bound of volume imply an upper bound of scalar curvature, then we have an upper bound of Ricci curvature. So, for Ricci solitons, a lower bound of Ricci curvature and volume, an upper bound of diameter, and a bound of $b_2(M)$ imply a bound on $\int_M |Rm|^2$. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3 *Let* (M_α, g_α) *be a sequence of shrinking gradient Ricci solitons of dimension* 4*, such that*

(1), $Ric(g_\alpha) \geq -C_1$*;*
(2), $diam(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \leq C_2$*;*
(3), $Vol(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \geq C_3$*;*
(4), $b_2(M_\alpha) \leq C_4$*,*

for some uniform positive constants C_1*,* C_2*,* C_3*,* C_4*. Then there is a subsequence* (M_α, g_α) *converging to* (M_∞, g_∞) *in Cheeger-Gromov's sense, where* M_∞ *is an orbifold with finitely many isolated singularities and* g_∞ *is a Ricci soliton in an orbifod sense.*

More recently, H.D.Cao and N.Sesum ([CN]) proved a compactness result for the Kähler Rici solitons, where the upper bound of diameter was replaced by a uniform lower bound of Perelman's functional $\mu(g, \frac{1}{2})$ ([Pe1]). They point out that by the same proof as in [Pe2] they can show that the uniform lower bound of Ricci curvature, lower bound of Perelman's functional $\mu(g, \frac{1}{2})$, and the Euclidean volume growth implies a uniform bound of diameter. In section two, we can show that, for a sequence of gradient Ricci soliton, an uniform lower bound of Ricci curvature and volume, and an uniform bound of diameter will give an uniform bound of Perelman's functional $\mu(g, \frac{1}{2})$.

The key analytic tool in this paper is Uhlenbeck's ([Uh]) Yang-Mills estimate for curvatures of Yang-Mills connections. The method to prove theorem 1.1 is similar with that in Anderson's paper ([An1]) for Einstein case. The main point is obtaining the ϵ-regularity estimates for Ricci soliton which say that a smallness of the $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$ norm of curvature implies a point-wise bound on the curvature. Moreover, different with the Einstein case, we should to obtain C^1 bound for potential functions u_α. It is well know ([Ya]), a lower bound for the Ricci curvature and volume and an upper bound on the diameter give a lower bound for the Sobolev constant C_s of compact manifold M,

$$\|u\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \leq \frac{1}{C_s}\|du\|_2 + Vol(M)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\|u\|_2, \tag{1.6}$$

for any Lipschitz function u on M. By the above Sobolev inequality, we can use the Moser iteration argument to obtain the above estimates.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section two, we deduce some estimates, specially, we obtain the C^1 estimates for functions u_α, and an uniform bound for Ricci curvature and Perelman's function. In the section three, we obtain the ϵ-regularity estimate for Ricci soliton. In the section four and five, we give the proof of theorem 1.1.

2 Preliminary Results

Let M be a compact manifold without boundary, and g be a gradient Ricci soliton i.e. satisfies formula (1.3), here we assume that u satisfying

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-u}\, dV_g = 1 \tag{2.1}$$

and $Ric(g) \geq -C_1 g$; $diam(M, g) \leq C_2$; $Vol(M, g) \geq C_3 > 0$.

From formula (2.1), we have

$$\inf_{x \in M} u \leq lnVol(M, g) - \frac{n}{2}ln(2\pi). \tag{2.2}$$

On the other hand, the Bishop comparison theorem implies there is an upper bound of volume by a lower bound of Ricci curvature and an upper bound of diameter. So, there exists a constant C_5 depending only on C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\inf_{x\in M} u \leq C_5. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $f = e^{-\frac{u}{2}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle f^2 &= e^{-u}|\nabla u|^2 - e^{-u}\triangle u \\ &= e^{-u}|\nabla u|^2 + e^{-u}(R - n\lambda) \\ &\geq 4|\nabla f|^2 - nf^2(C_1 + \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

From the above Bochner type inequality and the Sobolev inequality (1.6), using the Moser iteration argument ([Zh], proposition2.2), we have the following mean value inequality,

$$\sup_{x\in M} f \leq C_6\Big(\int_M e^{-u} dV_g\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where C_6 depend only on C_1, C_2 and C_3. So, we obtain a lower bound of u, i.e. there is a constant C_7 depending only on C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that

$$\inf_M u \geq -C_7. \tag{2.6}$$

From the Ricci soliton equation and the lower bound of Ricci curvature, we have

$$\nabla du \leq (C_1 + \lambda)g. \tag{2.7}$$

Let $P, Q \in M$ such that $u(P) = \inf_{x\in M} u, u(Q) = \sup_{x\in M} u$, and $\gamma : [0, d] \to M$ be a minimizing geodesic connecting P and Q, i.e. $\gamma(0) = P$, $\gamma(d) = Q$, here $d = dist(P, Q)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{du(\gamma(t))}{dt} &= \langle \nabla u, \gamma' \rangle_{\gamma(t)} - \langle \nabla u, \gamma' \rangle_p \\ &= \textstyle\int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\langle \nabla u, \gamma' \rangle_{\gamma(s)})\, ds \\ &= \textstyle\int_0^t \nabla_{\gamma'(s)} \langle \nabla u, \gamma' \rangle\, ds \\ &= \textstyle\int_0^t (\nabla du)(\gamma', \gamma')\, ds \\ &\leq (C_1 + \lambda)t \end{aligned}$$

,

and

$$u(Q) - u(P) = \int_0^d \frac{du(\gamma(t))}{dt}\, dt \leq \int_0^d (C_1 + \lambda)t dt = \frac{1}{2}(C_1 + \lambda)d^2.$$

From the above inequality and (2.3), we know that there exist a constant C_8 depending only on C_1, C_2, and C_3, such that

$$\sup_{x\in M} u \leq C_8. \tag{2.8}$$

Next, we want to obtain the estimate of $|\nabla u|$. From equation (1.3), we have

$$\nabla_i R_{jk} - \nabla_j R_{ik} = -R_{ijkl}\nabla_l u. \tag{2.9}$$

Taking trace on j and k, and using the second Bianchi identity we have

$$\nabla_i R - 2R_{ij}\nabla_j u = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\nabla_i(|\nabla u|^2 + R - 2\lambda u) = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

So, there is a constant C_9 such that

$$|\nabla u|^2 + R - 2\lambda u = C_9. \tag{2.12}$$

As above, we let $P \in M$ be the minimum point of u, then $|\nabla u|(P) = 0$, $\triangle u(P) \geq 0$, and $R(P) = n\lambda - \triangle u(P) \leq n\lambda$. We have

$$C_9 = |\nabla u|^2(P) + R(P) - 2\lambda u(P) \leq n\lambda - 2\lambda u(P). \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.12), we have

$$|\nabla u|^2 = -R + 2\lambda u + C_9 \leq n\lambda + 2\lambda(u - \inf_{x\in M} u) - R. \tag{2.14}$$

When the constant $\lambda \leq 0$, from the above inequality, we have

$$R \leq R + |\nabla u|^2 \leq n\lambda, \tag{2.15}$$

then $\triangle u = n\lambda - R \geq 0$. Since manifold M is compact, then u must be a constant. So we have the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.1 *Let g be a steady or expanding gradient soliton over compact manifold M, then g must be a Einstein metric.*

When λ is a positive constant, from the estimate (2.8) we have

$$|\nabla u|^2 \leq n\lambda + 2\lambda(\sup_{x\in M} u - \inf_{x\in M} u) - R \leq C_{10}, \tag{2.16}$$

where C_{10} is a constant depending only on C_1, C_2, C_3 and λ.

Let (M_α, g_α) be a sequence of shrinking Ricci solitons satisfying conditions (1), (2), (3) in theorem 1.1. From (2.6), (2.8), (2.16), we obtain a uniform C^1-bound of u_α; from (2.8) and (2.14), we also obtain an uniform upper bound of scalar curvature, i.e. we obtain the following lemma,

Lemma 2.2 *Let (M_α, g_α) be a sequence of shrinking Ricci solitons ($\lambda = 1$) satisfying conditions (1), (2), (3) in theorem 1.1, and u_α satisfying the constraint*

(2.1), then there is positive constants C_{11}, C_{12} depending only on C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that

$$|u_\alpha|_{C^1} \le C_{11}. \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$R(g_\alpha) \le C_{12} \tag{2.18}$$

In the next part of this section, we will give an uniform bound of Perelman's functional $\mu(g, \frac{1}{2})$ ([Pe1]) for a sequence shrinking Ricci solitons (M_α, g_α) satisfying conditions (1), (2), (3) in theorem 1.1. In [Pe1], Perelman has introduced the following functional satisfying

$$W(g, \varphi, \tau) = (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-\varphi}[\tau(R + |\nabla\varphi|^2) + f - n]\, dV_g, \tag{2.19}$$

under the constraint

$$(4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-\varphi}\, dV_g = 1. \tag{2.20}$$

Then he define the functional

$$\mu(g, \tau) = \inf W(g, \cdot, \tau), \tag{2.21}$$

where $\tau > 0$, inf is taken over all functions satisfying the constraint (2.20).

Lemma 2.3 *If (M, g) is a shrinking gradient Ricci soliton, i.e.*

$$g - Ric(g) = \nabla du,$$

where u satisfying the constraint (2.1), then u is a minimizer of Perelman's functional W with respect to metric g and $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof: Let $\psi(t)$ be the 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms that come from the vector field ∇u, and let $g(t) = \psi^* g$, then $g(t)$ satisfy the following Ricci flow equation,

$$\frac{d}{dt} g(t) = -2Ric(g(t)) + 2g(t). \tag{2.22}$$

In order to use the Perelman's monotonicity formula [Pe1], we scale the metric by $\tilde{g}(s) = C(s) g(t(s))$, where $C(s) = 1 - 2s$, $t(s) = -\frac{1}{2}\ln(1 - 2s)$. Then we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\tilde{g}(s) = -2Ric(\tilde{g}(s)), \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\mu(g(t), \frac{1}{2}) = \mu(\tilde{g}(s(t)), \frac{1}{2} - s(t)). \tag{2.24}$$

Let $\varphi(0)$ be a minimizer of W with respect to metric $g(0) = g$ and $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$. Then function $\varphi(t) = \psi^*\varphi(0)$ is a minimizer of W with respect to metric $g(t)$ since

$$\mu(g(t), \frac{1}{2}) \leq W(g(t), \varphi(t), \frac{1}{2}) = W(g(0), \varphi(0), \frac{1}{2}) = \mu(g(0), \frac{1}{2}) \leq \mu(g(t), \frac{1}{2}), \tag{2.25}$$

where the last inequality comes from the the Perelman's monotonicity formula for $\mu(\tilde{g}(s), \frac{1}{2} - s)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tfrac{d}{dt} W(g(t), \varphi(t), \tfrac{1}{2}) \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_M e^{-\varphi(t)} |R_{ij} + \varphi_{ij} - g_{ij}|^2 \, dV_t, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

which implies $\triangle\varphi(t) = n - R(t) = \triangle(u \circ \psi(t))$. Since M is compact and both functions satisfy the the constraint (2.1), then $\varphi(t) = u \circ \psi(t)$.
□

For our sequence of shrinking gradient Ricci soliton (M_α, g_α), the previous lemma tells us that every u_α is a minimizer of $W(g_\alpha, \cdot, \frac{1}{2})$ and therefore satisfies ([Pe1])

$$\triangle u_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_\alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R(g_\alpha) + u_\alpha - n = \mu(g_\alpha, \frac{1}{2}) \tag{2.27}$$

By $\triangle u_\alpha = n - R(g_\alpha)$ and Lemma 2.2, we obtain a uniform bound of $\mu(g_\alpha, \frac{1}{2})$.

Proposition 2.4 *Let (M_α, g_α) be a sequence of shrinking Ricci solitons ($\lambda = 1$) satisfying conditions (1), (2), (3) in theorem 1.1, and u_α satisfying the constraint (2.1), then there is a constant C_{13} depending only on C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that*

$$|\mu(g_\alpha, \frac{1}{2})| \leq C_{13}. \tag{2.28}$$

Remark 2.5 *From Lemma 2.2 , we get scalar curvature bounds for Ricci solitons satisfying conditions (1),(2),(3) in theorem 1.1. Since Ricci curvature have a lower bound, we can also get an upper bound for Ricci curvature. If dimension $n = 3$, we know Ricci cuvature bounds imply Riemannian curvature bounds. On the other hand, by Shi's estimates [Si] we can get uniform bounds for higher derivatives of Riemannian curvature, then using Gromov-Cheeger compactness theorem, we can easily get the following compactness theorem for Ricci solitons.*

Proposition 2.6 *Let (M_α, g_α) be a sequence of shrinking gradient Ricci solitons of dimension $n = 3$, such that*

(1), $Ric(g_\alpha) \geq -C_1 g_\alpha$*;*
(2), $diam(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \leq C_2$*;*
(3), $Vol(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \geq C_3 > 0$*;*

for some uniform constants C_1*,* C_2*,* C_3*. Then there is a subsequence* (M_α, g_α) *converging to* (M_∞, g_∞) *in* C^∞ *topology, and* (M_∞, g_∞) *is a smooth gradient Ricci soliton.*

3 ϵ-regularity for Ricci solitons

Let (M, g) be a shrinking gradient Ricci soliton. Choose a normal coordinate system on the considered point, by direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle R_{ijkl} = \nabla_m \nabla_m R_{ijkl} \\
&= -\nabla_m \nabla_k R_{ijlm} - \nabla_m \nabla_l R_{ijmk} \\
&= -\nabla_k \nabla_m R_{ijlm} - \nabla_l \nabla_m R_{ijmk} + Q(Rm)_{ijkl} \\
&= \nabla_k \nabla_m R_{mlij} - \nabla_m \nabla_l R_{mkij} + Q(Rm)_{ijkl} \\
&= \nabla_k \nabla_i R_{lj} - \nabla_k \nabla_j R_{li} - \nabla_l \nabla_i R_{kj} + \nabla_l \nabla_j R_{ki} + Q(Rm)_{ijkl} \\
&= \nabla_k (R_{mlij} \nabla_m u) - \nabla_l (R_{mkij} \nabla_m u) + Q(Rm)_{ijkl} \\
&= \nabla_k R_{mlij} \nabla_m u + R_{mlij} \nabla_k \nabla_m u - \nabla_l R_{mkij} \nabla_m u - R_{mkij} \nabla_l \nabla_m u + Q(Rm)_{ijkl},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where we have used the second Bianchi identity, Ricci identity, and formula (2.9), $Q(Rm)$ denotes a quadratic express in the curvature tensor. In the short hand, we write the above identity as

$$\triangle Rm = \nabla Rm * \nabla u + Rm * g + Rm * Ric + Rm * Rm. \tag{3.2}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle |Rm|^2 &= 2|\nabla Rm|^2 + 2\langle \triangle Rm, Rm \rangle \\
&\geq 2|\nabla Rm|^2 - 4|\nabla Rm||\nabla u||Rm| - C_{14}|Rm|^2 - C_{14}|Rm|^3,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where C_{14} is a positive constant depending only on dimension n. By using the estimate (2.17) and Kato inequality, we get

$$\triangle |Rm|^2 \geq (2-\theta)|\nabla |Rm||^2 - C(\theta)|Rm|^2 - C_{14}|Rm|^3. \tag{3.4}$$

Next, we use the Moser iteration argument to deduce the following mean-value inequality.

Lemma 3.1 *Let* (M, g) *be a compact Riemannian manifold, and* f *be a Lipschitz function satisfying*

$$f \triangle f \geq -\theta_1 |\nabla f|^2 - \theta_2 f^2 - \theta_3 f^3, \tag{3.5}$$

in weakly sense. Suppose that $\theta_1 \leq \frac{1}{4}$*, then there exist constant* ϵ *depending only on the dimension of* M*,* θ_3 *and the lower bound of the Sobolev constant* C_s *so that if*

$$\int_{B_P(2r)} f^{\frac{n}{2}} dv_g \leq \epsilon, \tag{3.6}$$

then

$$\sup_{B_P(\frac{r}{2})} f \leq C_*(1 + \frac{1}{r^2})(\int_{B_P(r)} f^{\frac{n}{2}}\, dv_g)^{\frac{2}{n}}, \tag{3.7}$$

where C_* *depending only on only on the dimension of* M*,* θ_2*,* θ_3*, the lower bound of* $Vol(M)$ *and the Sobolev constant* C_s

Proof: Multiplying $\eta^2 f^{q-1}$ to (3.5), and integrating yields

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{4\theta_1}{q^2}\int_M \eta^2|\nabla f^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2 + \theta_2\int_M \eta^2 f^q + \theta_3\int_M \eta^2 f^{q+1} \geq -\int_M \eta^2 f^{q-1}\triangle f \\ = \frac{4}{q}\int_M \eta f^{\frac{q}{2}}\langle\nabla\eta, \nabla f^{\frac{q}{2}}\rangle + \frac{4(q-1)}{q^2}\int_M \eta^2|\nabla f^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2 \\ \geq -\frac{2}{q-1}\int_M f^q|\nabla\eta|^2 + \frac{2(q-1)}{q^2}\int_M \eta^2|\nabla f^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2\,, \end{array} \tag{3.8}$$

where $q \geq 2$ and η be a nonnegative cut off function that we will choose later. If we suppose that $\theta_1 \leq \frac{1}{4}$, from the above inequality, we have

$$\frac{(q-1)}{q^2}\int_M \eta^2|\nabla f^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2 \leq \frac{2}{q-1}\int_M f^q|\nabla\eta|^2 + \theta_2\int_M \eta^2 f^q + \theta_3\int_M \eta^2 f^{q+1}\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Using the Sobolev inequality (1.6), and let $\mu = \frac{n}{n-2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l} \{\int_M(\eta f^{\frac{q}{2}})^{2\mu}\}^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq \frac{2}{C_s^2}\int_M|\nabla(\eta f^{\frac{q}{2}})|^2 + 2Vol(M)^{-\frac{4}{n}}\int_M \eta^2 f^q \\ \leq \frac{2}{C_s^2}\{\int_M \eta^2|\nabla f^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2 + \int_M f^q|\nabla\eta|^2\} + 2Vol(M)^{-\frac{4}{n}}\int_M \eta^2 f^q \\ \leq \frac{2\theta_3 q^2}{C_s^2(q-1)}\int_M \eta^2 f^{q+1} + \frac{6q^2}{C_s^2(q-1)^2}\int_M f^q|\nabla\eta|^2 \\ +(\frac{2\theta_2 q^2}{C_s^2(q-1)} + 2Vol(M)^{-\frac{4}{n}})\int_M \eta^2 f^q\,. \end{array} \tag{3.10}$$

By Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_M \eta^2 f^{q+1} \leq (\int_{Supp\eta} f^{\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}}\{\int_M(\eta f^{\frac{q}{2}})^{2\mu}\}^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \tag{3.11}$$

Take $\epsilon < \{\frac{(n-2)C_s^2}{2\theta_3 n^2}\}$. Let $q = \frac{n}{2}$ and η be a cut off function with compact support in $B_P(2r)$, equal to 1 on $B_P(r)$ and such that $|\nabla\eta| \leq \frac{2}{r}$, from the above inequalities, we get

$$\{\int_{B_P(r)} f^{\frac{n}{2}\mu}\}^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq (\frac{48n^2}{C_s^2(n-2)^2}\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{4n^2}{C_s^2(n-2)^2}\theta_2 + 4Vol(M)^{-\frac{4}{n}})\int_{B_P(2r)} f^{\frac{n}{2}}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

In the next part of the proof, we choose cut off functions η with compact support in $B_P(r)$, and equal to 1 on $B_P(\frac{r}{2})$. Using the Hölder inequality again, we get

$$\int_M \eta^2 f^{q+1} \le \{\int_{B_P(r)} f^{\frac{n}{2}\mu}\}^{\frac{2}{n\mu}}(\int_M (\eta f^{\frac{q}{2}})^{2\nu})^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\nu = \frac{\frac{n}{2}\mu}{\frac{n}{2}\mu-1} = \frac{n^2}{n^2-2n+4}$. Using Young inequality, we have

$$(\int_M (\eta f^{\frac{q}{2}})^{2\nu})^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \le \delta(\int_M (\eta f^{\frac{q}{2}})^{2\mu})^{\frac{1}{\mu}} + C(n)\delta^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\int_M \eta^2 f^q\,, \tag{3.14}$$

for small δ.

Set $\delta = \frac{1}{2}\{\int_{B_P(r)} f^{\frac{n}{2}\mu}\}^{\frac{2}{n\mu}}\frac{C_s^2(q-1)}{2\theta_3 q^2}$, from (3.10), (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$\{\int_M (\eta^2 f^q)^\mu\}^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \le C_{15}q^n\int_M ((1+\frac{1}{r^2})\eta^2 + |\nabla\eta|^2)f^q\,, \tag{3.15}$$

where C_{15} is a positive constant depending only on θ_2, θ_3, dimension of M, the lower bound of $Vol(M)$ and the Sobolev constant.

Set $\frac{r}{2} \le r_2 < r_1 \le r$, and let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_P(r_1))$ be the cut off function with the property that $\eta = 1$ in $B_P(r_2)$ and $|\nabla\eta| \le \frac{2}{r_1-r_2}$. From (3.15), we have

$$(\int_{B_P(r_2)} f^{q\mu})^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \le 4C_{15}q^n(1+\frac{1}{r^2}+\frac{1}{(r_1-r_2)^2})\int_{B_P(r_1)} f^q\,. \tag{3.16}$$

Let $R_i = \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2}2^{-i}$, $q_i = \frac{n}{2}\mu^i$, applying (3.16) to $r_1 = R_i$, $r_2 = R_{i+1}$, $q = q_i$, we have

$$(\int_{B_P(R_{i+1})} f^{\frac{n}{2}\mu^{i+1}})^{\mu^{-(i+1)}} \le (64C_{15}(1+\frac{1}{r^2})\frac{n}{2})^{\mu^{-i}}(2\mu)^{n\cdot i\mu^{-i}}(\int_{R_i} f^{\frac{n}{2}\mu^i})^{\mu^{-i}}. \tag{3.17}$$

Observe that $\lim_{i\to\infty} R_i = \frac{r}{2}$, and iterating the above inequality, we conclude that

$$\sup_{B_P(\frac{r}{2})} f^{\frac{n}{2}} \le C_{16}(1+\frac{1}{r^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}\int_{B_p(r)} f^{\frac{n}{2}}\,. \tag{3.18}$$

□

Let $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ in the formula (3.4), then the norm of Riemannian curvature $|Rm|$ of shrinking gradient Ricci solitons must satisfy the Bochner type inequality (3.5). From lemma 3.1, we obtain the ϵ regularity estimates for shrinking gradient Ricci solitons.

Theorem 3.2 *Let (M_α, g_α) be a sequence of compact gradient Ricci solitons satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3) in the theorem 1.1. Then there exist constants C_{17} and ϵ depending only on C_1, C_2, C_3 so that if*

$$\int_{B_P^\alpha(2r)} |Rm(g_\alpha)|^{\frac{n}{2}} < \epsilon, \tag{3.19}$$

then

$$\sup_{B_P^\alpha(\frac{r}{2})} |Rm(g_\alpha)| \leq C_{17}(1+\frac{1}{r^2})(\int_{B_P(r)} |Rm(g_\alpha)|^{\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}}. \tag{3.20}$$

4 The proof of theorem 1.1

In this section, firstly, we will show that we can extract a subsequence of Ricci solitons (M_α, g_α) which satisfy conditions (1),(2),(3),(4) in theorem 1.1, so that it converges to an orbifold in a topological sense. This relies on work by M.Anderson ([An1], [An2]).

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, and h_M be the isoperimetric constant given by

$$h_M = \inf_S \frac{(Vol(M))^n}{[\min(Vol(M_1), Vol(M_2))]^{n-1}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where S varies over all closed hyper-surfaces of M such that $M \setminus S = M_1 \cup M_2$. Croke ([Cr]) shows that h_M is bounded below by a constant depending only on a lower bound for Ricci curvature and volume, and an upper bound on the diameter. In particular, if $B_x(r)$ is a geodesic ball of radius r about $x \in M$ and $S_x(r) = \partial B_x(r)$, $v(r) = Vol(B_x(r))$, then it follows that: $(v'(r))^n \geq h_M v(r)^{n-1}$, for $v(r) < \frac{1}{2}Vol(M)$, integrating this inequality, one obtains: $v(r) \geq n^{-n} h_M r^n$. On the other hand, from the Bishop volume comparison theorem, we know that there must exist a positive constant C_{18} depending only on the lower bound of Ricci curvature and volume such that

$$Vol(B_x(r)) < \frac{1}{2}Vol(M), \quad when \quad r < C_{18}. \tag{4.2}$$

So, we have

$$Vol(B_x(r)) \geq C_{19} r^n, \tag{4.3}$$

for $r < C_{18}$, where C_{19} depend only on a lower bound for the isoperimetric constant. From [Ya], volume noncollapsing condition [4.3] and a lower bound for isoperimetric constant imply the following Sobolev inequality

$$(\int_{B_x(r)} f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}})^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{C_s'} \int_{B_x(r)} |\nabla f|^2, \tag{4.4}$$

for every Lipschitz function f with compact support in $B_x(r)$ and $r \leq C_{18}$. In fact, an upper bound on diameter, a lower bound of Ricci curvature and volume noncollapsing imply a lower bound on Sobolev constant C_s'.

Let ϵ be the constant of theorem 3.2 which determined by the bounds $Ric(g_\alpha) \geq -C_1 g_\alpha$, $diam(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \leq C_2$, $Vol(M_\alpha, g_\alpha) \leq C_3$ on (M_α, g_α). We fix an $0 < r < C_{18}$ and let $\{x_k^\alpha\}$ be a maximal $\frac{r}{8}$ separated set in (M_α, g_α). Thus the geodesic balls $B_{x_k}^\alpha(\frac{r}{16})$ are disjoint, and the balls $B_{x_k}^\alpha(\frac{r}{4})$ form a cover of M_α. We let

$$G_\alpha^r = \cup\{B_{x_k}^\alpha(\frac{r}{4}) : \int_{B_{x_k}^\alpha(2r)} |Rm(g_\alpha)|^{\frac{n}{2}} < \epsilon\},$$

and

$$B_\alpha^r = \cup\{B_{x_k}^\alpha(\frac{r}{4}) : \int_{B_{x_k}^\alpha(2r)} |Rm(g_\alpha)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq \epsilon\}.$$

Then $M_\alpha = G_\alpha^r \cup B_\alpha^r$. From volume non-collapsing condition (4.3) and Bishop-Gromov volume estimates, we have a bound on the number of bad balls Q_α^r in B_α^r independent of α and r, namely,

$$Q_\alpha^r \leq C_{20}, \tag{4.5}$$

where C_{20} is positive constant depending only on the constants C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 which given in theorem 1.1.

Since Ricci solitons are the solutions of Ricci flow, Shi's curvature estimates do apply and therefore by the estimates (3.20)

$$\sup_{G_\alpha^r} |\nabla^k Rm(g_\alpha)| \leq \frac{C_{21}}{r^{k+2}}, \tag{4.6}$$

where C_{21} depend only on C_1, C_2, C_3. We also obtain

$$\sup_{G_\alpha^r} |\nabla^k u_\alpha| \leq C_{22}(k), \tag{4.7}$$

where $C_{22}(k)$ is a constant depending only on k, r, C_1, C_2, C_3.

From the volume non-collapsing condition (4.3), small curvature estimates (theorem 3.2), and Shi's curvature estimates (4.6), following section 5 in [An1], we can show that there is a subsequence of (M_α, g_α) converges to (M_∞, g_∞) in the Hausdorff topology, and $M_\infty = G \cup \{P_i\}_1^Q$ is a complete lenght space with a length function g_∞, which restricts to a smooth gradient Ricci soliton on G satisfying

$$g_\infty - Ric(g_\infty) = \nabla du_\infty, \tag{4.8}$$

where u_∞ is a C^∞ limit of u_α away from singular points. The point $\{P_i\}_1^Q$ are called the curvature singularities of M_∞, and the convergence is in C^∞-topology outside the singularities. Then, in the similar way as that in section 5 in [An1], we can check that M_∞ has the structure of an orbifold with a finite number of curvature singularity points, each having a punctured neighborhood which is diffeomorphic to a punctured cone on a spherical space form, and metrics g_∞ has a C^0 extension over every singularity points. So, we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 *Let (M_α, g_α) be a sequence of compact gradient Ricci solitons satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3), (4) in the theorem 1.1. There is a subsequence so that (M_α, g_α) converges to a compact orbifold (M_∞, g_∞) with finitly many singularities. Convergence is in C^∞ topology outside those singularity points, g_∞ is a smooth Ricci soliton outside those singularities and has a C^0 extension over every singularity points.*

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 we still need to show that the limit metric g_∞ on G can be extended to an orbifold metric on M_∞. More precisely, in an orbifold lifting around singular points, in an appropriate gauge, the gradient Ricci soliton equation of g_∞ can be smoothly extended over the origin in a ball in R^n. At this stage, the regularity theory is not sufficient to imply that g_∞ is smooth. However, by Fatou's lemma, we know that

$$\int_{M_\infty} |Rm(g_\infty)|^{\frac{n}{2}}\, dv_\infty < \infty. \tag{4.9}$$

From the above inequality, we can obtain an upper bound for the norm of curvature tensor $Rm(g_\infty)$ of the limit metric g_∞.

Lemma 4.2 $|Rm(g_\infty)|_\infty$ *is bounded uniformly on $M_\infty \setminus \{P_i\}_1^Q$.*

We leave the proof of Lemma 4.2 in the next section. From above, we know that each singular point $P \in M_\infty$ has a neighbourhood that is covered by a punctured ball $B^n(r) \setminus \{0\} \in R^n$. Our goal is to show that there exist one diffeomorphism ϕ of $B^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\phi^*\pi^*(g_\infty)$ extends to a smooth metric on $B^n(r)$, where π is the covering map.

Using Lemma 4.2, and harmonic coordinates constructed in [Jo], in the same way as in [BKN, theorem 5.1], we can show that if r is sufficiently small, there is a diffeomorphism ϕ of $B^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ such that ϕ extends to a homeomorphism of $B^n(r)$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (g_\infty)_{ij}(x) - \delta_{ij} &= O(|x|^2), \\ \partial_k (g_\infty)_{ij}(x) &= O(|x|), \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where we also denote the pulled back metric $\phi^*\pi^*(g_\infty)$ by g_∞ for simplicity. This means that there are some coordinates in a covering of a singular point of M_∞ in which g_∞ extends to a $C^{1,1}$-metric.

For our sequence of shrinking gradient Ricci soliton (M_α, g_α), the lemma 2.3 tells us that every potential function u_α is a minimizer of $W(g_\alpha, \cdot, \frac{1}{2})$ and therefore satisfies

$$\triangle u_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_\alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R(g_\alpha) + u_\alpha - n = \mu(g_\alpha, \frac{1}{2}).$$

By $\triangle u_\alpha = n - R(g_\alpha)$, we have

$$\triangle u_\alpha = |\nabla u_\alpha|^2 - 2u_\alpha + n + 2\mu(g_\alpha, \frac{1}{2}). \tag{4.11}$$

Proposition 2.4 tell us that those $\mu(g_\alpha, \frac{1}{2})$ are bounded uniformly. So we can extract a subsequence of a sequence of converging metrics g_α such that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \mu(g_\alpha, \frac{1}{2}) = \mu_\infty. \tag{4.12}$$

Let $\alpha \to \infty$ in (4.11) we get

$$\triangle u_\infty = |\nabla u_\infty|^2 - 2u_\infty + n + 2\mu_\infty, \tag{4.13}$$

away from those singular points P_i. On the other hand, from Lemma 2.2 and the soliton equation , we have

$$\sup_{M_\infty \backslash \{P_i\}_1^Q} |u_\infty|_{C^2} \leq C_*, \tag{4.14}$$

for some uniform constant C_*. So, it is not hard to conclude that ∇u_∞ extends to the origin in the covering ball $B^n(r)$. Moreover, $u_\infty \in C^{1,1}(B^n(r))$.

Using the harmonic coordinates for g_∞ in $B^n(r)$, we can write the soliton equation as follow

$$\triangle(g_{ij}) + \cdots = u_{ij} \tag{4.15}$$

where the dots indicate lower order terms involving at most one derivative of g_{ij}. Since $g_\infty \in C^{1,1}(B^n(r))$ and $u_\infty \in C^{1,1}(B^n(r))$, from (4.11) and (4.15), the standard elliptic regularity theory imply that g_∞ and u_∞ must be smooth in $B^n(r)$, that is g_∞ is a gradient soliton metric in an orbifold sense.

When n is odd, we can discuss like that in [An1, section5], to conclude that there are no curvature singularities in M_∞. We argue by contradiction. Suppose that there exist curvature singularities in M_∞. For each curvature singularity $P \in \{P_i\}_1^Q \subset M_\infty$, there is a sequence $x_\alpha \in M_\alpha$, such that $x_\alpha \to P$ and $\inf_{r>0} \sup\{|Rm_\alpha(x)|; x \in B_{x_\alpha}(r) \subset M_\alpha\} \to \infty$, as $\alpha \to \infty$. Since the curvature of M_α remains bounded in bounded distance away from x_α, we may assume that x_α realizes the maximum R_α of $|Rm_\alpha|$ on $B_{x_\alpha}(r_0)$ for some small r_0. Now consider the pointed connected Riemannian manifolds $V_\alpha = (B_{x_\alpha}, x_\alpha, R_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} g_\alpha)$. We note that the curvature of V_α is uniformly bounded, $|Rm_{V_\alpha}(x_\alpha)| = 1$, and $|Ric(V_\alpha)|(x) \to 0$ for any point $x \in V_\alpha$ as $\alpha \to \infty$ (since,in Lemma2.2 we have proved that the Ricci curvature of M_α is bouunded uniformly). Similarly, $\int_{V_\alpha} |Rm|^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq C$ and the Sobolev constants for V_α are uniformly bounded below, since this is true for M_α itself. As in section three in [An1], we can prove that there is a subsequence of V_α converges, in C^∞ topology on compact sets, to a complete connected Riemannian manifold V satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ll} Ric_V & = 0, \\ \frac{Vol(B(r))}{r^n} & \geq C', \\ \int_V |Rm|^{\frac{n}{2}} & \leq C, \end{array} \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$|Rm|(x_0) = 1, \quad for some x_0 \in V. \tag{4.17}$$

A complete connected Riemannian manifold satisfying (4.16) is called an EALE (Einstein, asymptotically locally Euclidean) space. Theorem 3.5 in [An1] had shown that in odd dimensions, nontrivial, i.e., nonflat, EALE space do not exist, so we get the contradiction by (4.17). So it follows that M_∞ is a smooth manifold and the convergence $M_\alpha \to M_\infty$ is smooth.

5 Curvature bounds of the limiting metric

In this section, we will give curvature bounds for the limiting metric g_∞. If $n = 4$, the approach that we will use to prove lemma 4.2 is based on Uhlenbeck's [Uh, Th4.1] idea for treating the isolated singularities for the Yang-Mills equation. If $n \geq 5$, using the Sobolev bounds in $B_{g_\infty}(P, r)\ \{P\}$, we can verify the basic methods of Sibner [Sib, Lemma2.1, Proposition2.4] remain valid here also. We should point out that Chao and Sesum [CS] had used the same idea to treating theKähler-Ricci soliton case. We include a sketched proof here.

Let P be a singular point of M_∞, $r(x) = dist_{g_\infty}(x, P)$, and $B_{g_\infty}(P, r) = \{x \in M_\infty | r(x) < r\}$. Since the Sobolev inequality (4.4) with a uniform Sobolev sonstant C'_s for all g_α, we have the following Sobolev inequality

$$(\int_{B_{g_\infty}(P,r)} f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}})^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{C'_s} \int_{B_{g_\infty}(P,r)} |\nabla f|^2 , \tag{5.1}$$

for every Lipschitz function f with compact support in $B_{g_\infty}(P, r) \setminus \{P\}$ and $r \leq C_{18}$.

Remark 5.1 *By Fatou's lemma and similar arguments as in [BKN], we can get that (5.1) also holds for compact supported functions* $f \in W^{1,2}(B_{g_\infty}(P, r))$.

From (3.4), we also have

$$\triangle |Rm|^2 \geq (2 - \theta)|\nabla |Rm||^2 - C(\theta)|Rm|^2 - C_{14}|Rm|^3, \tag{5.2}$$

on $M_\infty \setminus \{P_i\}_1^Q$. by (4.9), we can decrease r if necessary so that $\int_{g_\infty(P,r)} |Rm|^{\frac{n}{2}}\, dV_{g_\infty} < \epsilon$, where ϵ is chosen to be small. By Sobolev inequality (5.1) and lemma 3.1, we have

$$|Rm(g_\infty)|(x) \leq \frac{C}{r(x)^2} \{\int_{B_{g_\infty}(P,2r(x))} |Rm(g_\infty)|^{\frac{n}{2}}\, dV_{g_\infty}\}^{\frac{2}{n}}, \tag{5.3}$$

for some uniform constant C. From Shi's curvature estimates (4.6), letting $\alpha \to \infty$ we get

$$|\nabla^k Rm(g_\infty)|(x) \leq \frac{C(r(x))}{r(x)^{k+2}}, \tag{5.4}$$

for all $x \in M_\infty \setminus \{P_i\}_1^Q$, where $C(r(x)) \to 0$ as $r(x) \to 0$.

(a), When $n = 4$. Let U be a small neighbourhood of P, recall that $U \setminus \{P\}$ is covered by $B^n(r) \setminus \{0\} \subset R^4$ and $\pi^* g_\infty$ extends to a C^0 metric on the ball $B^n(r)$, where π is the covering map. By estimates (5.3) and (5.4), as in [Ti1, section 4] we can find a gauge ϕ (i.e, a diffeomorphism on $B^n(r)(r)$) so that

$$\begin{array}{ll} |dg_{ij}|(x) & \leq \frac{\epsilon(r(x))}{r(x)}, \\ |d(\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_k})|(x) & \leq \frac{\epsilon(r(x))}{r(x)^2}, \\ |d(\frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial x_k \partial x_l})|(x) & \leq \frac{\epsilon(r(x))}{r(x)^3}, \end{array} \tag{5.5}$$

in $B^r \setminus \{0\}$, where d is the exterior differential on R^4 and $|\cdot|$ is the norm with respect to the Euclidean metric, and g stands for $\phi^* \pi^* g_\infty$.

Let $D = d + A$ be a connection uniquely associated to the metric g on $B^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$, where A is the connection form. As in [Uh, section4] or [Ti1, section4], we constructed the broken Hodge gauges. We break domain up into annuli

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{U}_l & = \{x : 2^{-l-1} r \leq r(x) \leq 2^{-l} r\}, \\ \mathbf{S}_l & = \{x : r(x) = 2^{-l} r\}, \end{array} \tag{5.6}$$

for $l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

Definition 5.2 *A broken Hodge gauge for a connection D in a bundle E over $\cup_{l=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{U}_l$ is a gauge related continuously to the original gauge in which $D = d + A$ and $A(l) = A|_{\boldsymbol{U}_l}$ have the following properties for all l*

$$\begin{array}{l} d^* A(l) = 0 \quad in\, \boldsymbol{U}_l, \\ A_\psi(l)|_{\boldsymbol{S}_l} = A_\psi(l-1)|_{S_l}, \\ d^*_\psi A_\psi(l) = 0 \quad on\, \boldsymbol{S}_l \quad and\, \boldsymbol{S}_{l+1}, \\ \int_{\boldsymbol{S}_l} A_r(l) \ = \int_{\boldsymbol{S}_{l+1}} A_r(l) = 0. \end{array}$$

As in [Uh, Theorem4.6] or [Ti1,section4], from estimate (5.3), we have the following lemma

Lemma 5.3 *Let D be the unique connection associate to the metric g, for small r,then there exists a broken Hodge gauge in $B^n(r) \setminus \{0\} = \cup_{l=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{U}_l$ satisfying*

$$\begin{array}{l} |A(l)|_g(x) \leq C 2^{-l} r \sup_{\boldsymbol{U}_l} |Rm|_g \leq C 2^{l+1} r^{-1}, \\ \int_{\boldsymbol{U}_l} |A(l)|_g^2 \, dV_g \leq C 2^{-2l} r^2 \int_{\boldsymbol{U}_l} |Rm|_g^2 \, dV_g. \end{array} \tag{5.7}$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{U}_l} |Rm|_g^2 \, dV_g & = - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{U}_l} \langle A(l), D^* Rm \rangle \ - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{U}_l} \langle [A(l), A(l)], Rm \rangle \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbf{S}_0} \langle A_\psi(0), Rm_{r\psi} \rangle \ - \lim_{l \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{S}_{l+1}} \langle A_\psi(l), Rm_{r\psi} \rangle \end{array} \tag{5.8}$$

From (5.3) and (5.7), it is not hard to check that $\lim_{l\to\infty}\int_{\mathbf{S}_{l+1}}\langle A_\psi(l), Rm_{r\psi}\rangle = 0$. On the other hand, we know the limit metric g_∞ satisfies the Ricci soliton equation,

$$g - Ric = \nabla du, \tag{5.9}$$

where $u = \phi^*\pi^*u_\infty$. We have

$$D^*Rm_{ijk} = R_{ijkm,m} = R_{ki,j} - R_{kj,i} = u_{k,ji} - u_{k,ij} = R_{ijkl}g^{lm}u_m. \tag{5.10}$$

where we have used the second Bianchi identity and Ricci identity. By lemma 2.2, we know that $|\nabla u|$ is bounded uniformly, so we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}_l}\langle A(l), D^*Rm\rangle \le \Big(\int_{\mathbf{U}_l}|A(l)|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{U}_l}|D^*Rm|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C2^{-l}r\int_{\mathbf{U}_l}|Rm|_g^2. \tag{5.11}$$

From the estimate (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\int_{\mathbf{U}_l}\langle[A(l), A(l)], Rm\rangle\Big| \le \sup_{\mathbf{U}_l}|Rm|_g\int_{\mathbf{U}_l}|A(l)|^2\\ &\le C\Big(\int_{B_{g_\infty}(P,2^{-l+1}r)}|Rm(g_\infty)|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbf{U}_l}|Rm|_g^2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

.

Similar as the proof of corollary 2.6 in [Uh] with small modification just like that in [Ti1, setion4], we can find a decreasing function $\epsilon_1(r)$ with $\lim_{r\to 0}\epsilon_1(r) = 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}_0}|A_\psi(0)|_g^2\,dV_g \le (2-\epsilon(r))^{-2}r^2\int_{\mathbf{S}_0}|Rm_{\psi\psi}|_g^2 dV_g \tag{5.13}$$

From (5.8), (5.11), (5.12), (5.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(r)}|Rm|_g^2\,dV_g &\le \tfrac{r}{2(2-\epsilon_1(r))(1-\epsilon_2(r))}\int_{\partial B(r)}|Rm|_g^2\,dV_g\\ &\le \tfrac{r}{4}(1+\tfrac{\delta}{2})\int_{\partial B(r)}|Rm|_g^2\,dV_g, \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

whenever r is sufficiently small and $\delta\in(0,1)$, where $\lim_{r\to 0}\epsilon_2(r) = 0$. Then it is standard to conclude from above inequality that([Ti1, section4])

$$|Rm|_{g_\infty}(x) \le \frac{C}{r(x)^\delta}, \tag{5.15}$$

for $x\in B_{g_\infty}(P, r)$, for sufficiently small r and some $\delta\in(0,1)$, where C is a uniform constant. Recall that g_∞ extends C^0 metric on the covering ball, from (5.15), we can show that there exist $q > 4$ so that

$$\int_{B_{g_\infty}(P,r)}|Rm(g_\infty)|^q < \infty. \tag{5.16}$$

To further consideration, we need the following lemma which similar as lemma 2.1 in [Sib], and had been proved in [CS]

Lemma 5.4 *Let $f \geq 0$ be a smooth function in $M_\infty \setminus \{P_i\}_1^Q$ and satisfies (3.5), with $f \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}$. If $f \in L^{\frac{2nq_0}{n-2}} \cap L^{2q}$, $q_0 > \frac{1}{2}$, then $\nabla f^q \in L^2$ and for sufficiently small r, we have*

$$\int_{B_{g_\infty}(P_i,r)} \eta^2 |\nabla f^q|^2 \leq \int_{B_{g_\infty}(P_i,r)} |\nabla \eta|^2 f^{2q}, \tag{5.17}$$

for all $\eta \in B_{g_\infty}(P_i, r)$, where C is a uniform constant.

(b), If $n > 4$, let $f = |Rm(g_\infty)| \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}$, we can choose $q_0 = 1$ and $q = \frac{n}{4}$. From (5.2), we know $f = |Rm(g_\infty)| \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}$ satisfies (3.5), applying Lemma 5.4 to f, we find that $\nabla f^{\frac{n}{4}} \in L^2$. By remark 5.1, we can apply the Sobolev inequality (5.1) to $f^{\frac{n}{4}}$ to conclude that

$$|Rm(g_\infty)| \in L^p, \tag{5.18}$$

with $p = \frac{n}{2}\frac{n}{n-2} > \frac{n}{2}$.

From above we know that $|Rm(g_\infty)| \in L^p$ for some $p > \frac{n}{2}$ in both cases $n = 4$ and $n > 4$. Specially, since $Vol(M_\infty, g_\infty) < \infty$, by (5.16) (5.18) and using Hölder inequality, we have $|Rm(g_\infty)| \in L^p$ for $p \in (0, \frac{n}{2}\frac{n}{n-2}]$. Take $q_0 = 1$, $q \in (0, \frac{n}{4}\frac{n}{n-2}]$ and repeat applying Lemma 5.4 to get $\nabla |Rm_{g_\infty}|^q \in L^2$. By Sobolev inequality (5.1) and Using Hölder inequality again, we have $|Rm(g_\infty)| \in L^p$ for $p \in (0, \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{n-2})^2]$. If we keep on repeating this, at the k-th step we have $\nabla|Rm(g_\infty)|^q \in L^2$ for $q \in (0, \frac{n}{4}(\frac{n}{n-2})^k]$ and $|Rm(g_\infty)| \in L^p$ for $p \in (0, \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{n-2})^{k+1}]$. Since $(\frac{n}{n-2})^k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, we have

$$|Rm(g_\infty)| \in L^p, \tag{5.19}$$

and

$$\nabla |Rm(g_\infty)|^p \in L^2, \quad for \quad all \quad p. \tag{5.20}$$

By (5.19) (5.20), combining Remark 5.1 and lemma 5.4, for sufficiently small r and any p we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \left(\int_{B_{g_\infty}(P,r)} |\eta |Rm_{g_\infty}|^p|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} & \leq \frac{1}{C_s'} \int_{B_{g_\infty}(P,r)} |\nabla(\eta |Rm(g_\infty)|^p)|^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{B_{g_\infty}(P,r)} |\nabla \eta|^2 |Rm(g_\infty)|^{2p}, \end{array} \tag{5.21}$$

with a uniform constant C, where η is any cut off function with compact support in $B_{g_\infty}(P, r)$. Then, using the Moser's iteration argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\sup_{B_{g_\infty}(P,\frac{r}{2})} |Rm(g_\infty)| \leq \frac{C}{r^2}. \tag{5.22}$$

So, we get the curvature bound for the limiting metric $g_\infty$and finished the proof of Lemma 4.2.

6 References

[An1] M.Anderson: Ricci curvature bounds an Einstein metrics on compact manifolds, *J.Amer.Math.Soc.* **3**(1990) 355-374.

[An2] M.Anderson: Orbifold compactness for spaces of Riemannian metrics and applications, *Math.Ann.* **331**(2005), 739-778.

[Be] A.Besse, Einstein manifolds, *Ergeb.Math.Grenzgeb.Band 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York*, 1987.

[BKN] S.Bando, A.Kasue, H.Nakajima: On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth. *Invent.Math.* **97** (1989), 313-349.

[Ch] J.Cheeger: Finiteness theorem for Riemannian manifols. *Am. J. Math.* **92**(1970), 61-74.

[CS] H.D.Cao and N.Sesum: The compactness result for Kähler Ricci solitons; *arXiv.math.DG/0504526.*

[Ga] Z.Gao: Einstein metrics, *J.Differential Geometry* **32**(1990) 155-183.

[Gr] M.Gromov: Structures metriques pour les varietes Riem. *Red. par J.Lafontaine et P.Pansu* , Paris (19881).

[GW] R.Greene and H.Wu: Lipschitz convergence of Riemannian manifolds. *Pac.J.Math.* **131**(1988), 119-141.

[Ha1] R.Hamilton: Three-manifolds with positive curvature Ricci curvature,*J. Differential Geometry* **17**(1982) 225-306.

[Ha2] R.Hamilton: Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator, *J.Diff.Geom.* **24**(1986), 153-179.

[Jo] J.Jost: Harmonic mappings between Riemannian manifolds. Proceedings of the center for mathematical analysis, Australian National University, Vol.**4**, 1983.

[Ka] A.Kasue: A convergence theorem for Riemannian manifolds and some applications. *Nagoya Math. J.* **114**(1989), 21-51.

[Na] H.Nakajima: Hausdorff convergence of Einstein 4-manifolds, *J.Fac.Sci.Univ.Tokyo* **35**(1988) 411-424.

[Pe1] G.Perelman: The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric application, *arXiv.math.DG/0211159* .

[Pe2] G.Perelman: Perelman's lectures on the Kähler Ricci flow.

[Pe] S.Peters: Convergence of Riemannian manifolds. *Compos.Math.* **62**(1987), 3-16.

[Si] W.X.Shi: Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds; *J.Differential Geometry*, **30** (1989) 223-301.

[Sib] L.M.Sibner: Isolated point singularity problem; *Math.Ann.* **271**(1985) 125-131.

[Ti1] G.Tian: On Calabi's conjecture for complex surface with positive first Chern class; *Inventiones Math.* **101** (1990), 101-172.

[Ti2] G.Tian: Compactness theorems for Kahler-Einstein manifolds of dimension 3 and up, *J.Differential Geometry* **35** (1992) 535-558.

[Uh] K.Uhlenbeck: Removable singularities in Yang-Mills field, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **83**(1982) 11-29.

[Ya] S.T.Yau: Survey lecture, *Seminar on Differential Geom.*, *Ann.of Math. Stud.*, **102**(1982).

[Zh] X.Zhang: Harnack inequality and regularity of p-Laplace equation on complete manifolds, *Kodai Math.J.* **23** (2000), 326-344.